

INFORMATION SOCIETY TECHNOLOGIES (IST)

PROGRAMME



AIDE IST-1-507674-IP

AIDE Assessment and Evaluation Report

Deliverable No. (use the number indicated on technical annex)		D4.4.1	
SubProject No.	SP4	SubProject Title	Consortium Management
Workpackage No.	WP4.4	Workpackage Title	Review and Assessment
Activity No.	T4.4.1	Activity Title	Review and Assessment
Authors (per company, if more than one company provide it together)		Angelos Amditis, Niki Boutsikaki (ICCS), Evangelos Bekiaris(HIT), Luisa Andreone (CRF)	
Status (D: draft, in progress, S: Submitted to EC, F: Final accepted by EC):		S	
File Name:		AIDE D4.4.1 v4 4th Assessment Report.doc	
Project start date and duration		01 March 2004, 48 Months	

Revision chart

Version	Date	Reason
3	2006-04-20	First released version for annual review
4	2006-06-21	Header + New filename standard

List of abbreviations

ADAS:	ADVANCED DRIVER ASSISTANCE SYSTEMS
CAV:	COMMUNITY ADDED VALUE CRITERION OF AIDE PROJECT
CM:	NUMBER OF PROJECT'S OR SUBPROJECTS CONSORTIUM MEETINGS PERFORMED SO FAR
CS:	CONSORTIUM SYNTHESIS FACTOR OF AIDE PROJECT
CSO:	COMMUNITY SOCIAL OBJECTIVES CRITERION OF AIDE PROJECT
DVE:	DRIVER VEHICLE ENVIRONMENT
EMP:	IMPACT OF AIDE PROJECT TO EMPLOYMENT
EU (or EC):	EUROPEAN UNION (OR EUROPEAN COMMISSION)
HMI	HUMAN MACHINE INTERACTION
H/W:	HARDWARE
IF:	INNOVATION FACTOR OF THE PROJECT
IVIS:	IN VEHICLE INFORMATION SYSTEMS
MI:	MARKET IMPACT FACTOR OF AIDE PROJECT
OAF:	OVERALL ASSESSMENT FUNCTION OF AIDE PROJECT
PAD:	PROJECT ASSESSMENT DIAGRAM, A NEW FORM OF DIAGRAM ESTIMATING PROJECT PROGRESS BY THE VALUE OF A NUMBER OF RELEVANT CRITERIA, ON A THREE-MONTH BASIS
PF:	PARTICIPANT FACTOR, CHARACTERISING THE QUALITY AND TIMENESS OF PARTICIPANT IN WORK
PM:	IP MANAGEMENT PERFORMANCE
PS:	SUB PROJECT SCHEDULING FACTOR
QAM:	QUALITY ASSURANCE MANAGER OF AIDE PROJECT
QM:	QUALITY MARK OF PROJECT DELIVERABLE
QoL:	QUALITY OF LIFE IMPACT OF AIDE PROJECT
RL:	RESOURCE LEVEL FACTOR OF AIDE PROJECT PARTICIPANT
RPM:	RESOURCES, PARTNERSHIP AND MANAGEMENT CRITERION OF AIDE PROJECT
RUN:	RELATION TO USER NEEDS FACTOR
SAF:	SAFETY IMPACT OF AIDE PROJECT
S/W:	SOFTWARE
TQC:	TECHNICAL QUALITY CRITERION OF AIDE PROJECT
TR:	TECHNICAL RISK FACTOR OF THE PROJECT
WS:	PARTNER SUCCESS RATE
WF:	WORKPACKAGE FACTOR, CHARACTERISING THE PROGRESS LEVEL OF WORKPACKAGE OF THE PROJECT

TABLE OF CONTENTS

List of abbreviations	2
TABLE OF CONTENTS	4
LIST OF FIGURES	5
EXECUTIVE SUMMARY	6
INTRODUCTION	7
AIDE SP1 Assessment	8
1.1 Technical Quality Criterion	8
1.2 Resources, partnership and management criterion	12
1.3 Discussion	14
1 AIDE SP2 Assessment	15
2.1 Technical Quality Criterion	15
2.2 Resources, partnership and management criterion	19
2.3 Discussion	21
3 AIDE SP3 Assessment	22
3.1 Technical Quality Criterion	22
3.2 Resources, partnership and management criterion	26
3.3 Discussion	28
4 AIDE SP4 Assessment	29
4.1 Technical Quality Criterion	29
4.2 Resources, partnership and management criterion	32
4.3 Discussion	34
5 AIDE IP Assessment	35
5.1 Technical Quality Criterion	35
5.2 Community Added Value Criterion.....	36
5.3 Community Social Objectives criterion.....	37
5.4 Resources, partnership and management criterion	38
5.5 Discussion	39
6 Comparison to previous Assessment Reports.....	40
7 Conclusions	44
8 REFERENCES	45

LIST OF FIGURES

<i>Figure 1 SP1 Assessment diagram</i>	13
<i>Figure 2 SP2 Assessment diagram</i>	21
<i>Figure 3 SP3 Assessment diagram</i>	28
<i>Figure 4 SP4 Assessment diagram</i>	34
<i>Figure 5 IP Assessment Diagram</i>	39
Figure 6 SP1 - All semesters	40
Figure 7 SP2 Assessment - All semesters.....	41
Figure 8 SP3 Assessment - All semesters.....	41
Figure 9 SP4 Assessment - All semesters.....	42
Figure 10 IP Assessment - All semesters	42

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The assessment report of the 4th semester of AIDE project is presented to this document. The Assessment reports aim to evaluate the progress of the AIDE project and identify possible deviations from the original workplan while the project is still in progress. The methodology used for the Assessment report is described in detail to the internal document “AIDE Assessment and Evaluation methodology” (Doc id. AIDE_ICCS_WP4_R1_v5_Assessment Plan) and should be read in parallel with the assessment report for clearer understanding of its findings.

All needed input for the presented assessment report originate from the relevant SP leaders who are responsible of critically reviewing their subproject and assign the most representative mark for each criterion. This is a critical task for the timely identification of possible deviations from the project’s schedule.

The results of the 4th assessment report do not indicate that there is a need for special attention of the Resources, Partnership and Management criterion for the Sub-project 4 but also for other SPs. Small delays in some WPs of the different SPs do not present today a risk for the SPs and the IP overall.

Despite this, all other subprojects do not seem to need any special compensating measures for now.